

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Applicants: Joon-Hoo Choi et al.

Examiner: Wang, George

Serial No: 10/083,261

Group Art Unit: 2871

Filed: February 25, 2002

Docket: 8071-12 (OPP 011059 US)

RECEIVED  
SEP 15 2003  
TECHNICAL CENTER 2800

For: **THIN FILM TRANSISTOR ARRAY SUBSTRATE USING LOW  
DIELECTRIC INSULATING LAYER AND METHOD OF  
FABRICATING THE SAME**

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:


In response to the office action dated July 29, 2003, Applicants provisionally elect the specie as disclosed in Figs. 1-6B and claims 1, 2, 6-12, 20, 22 and 23 readable thereon, with traverse. Applicants further submit that claims 1, 2, 6-12, 20, 22 and 23 include generic claims and claims readable on Figs. 1-6B, as well as other figures. For example, claims 1, 2, 6-12 and 20-29 are readable on Figs. 7-17C.

---

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any document referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage paid in an addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Dated: 9/9/03

  
Frank Chau

As set forth in MPEP §806.04(a) and 37 CFR 1.141, a reasonable number of species may be claimed in one application. Examination of more than one specie, for example, species of Figs. 1-6B and Figs. 7-17C cannot be deemed an unreasonable amount of species presented in a patent application. The Examiner's reconsideration of the requirement to restrict the application is respectfully requested.


Further, as set forth in MPEP §803, if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though the application contains claims to independent or distinct inventions.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that simultaneous examination of at least the species of Group I and Group II will not present an undue burden.

Under such circumstances, the Examiner is encouraged to maintain at least claims 1, 2, 6-12 and 20-29 in the same application (See MPEP §803).

Early and favorable consideration of this application is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted

By:   
Frank Chau  
Reg. No. 34,136  
Attorney for Applicants

F.CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501  
East Meadow, New York 11554  
Telephone: (516) 357-0091  
Facsimile: (516) 357-0092